	Case 2:04-cv-01183-TLN-DB Documer	nt 85 Filed 08/21/15	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	REX CHAPPELL,	No. 04-cv-1183 TL	N DAD P
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	C.K. PLILER et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16		l	
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983.		
19	On January 26, 2009, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 47)		
20	On June 11, 2009, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations, recommending that		
21	defendants' motion be granted in part and denied in part. (Doc. No. 55) The district judge		
22	assigned to this case at the time conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the case and noted that the Ninth		
23	Circuit Court of Appeals had reversed this court's decision in Norwood v. Vance, No. 2:03-cv-		
24	2554 GEB GGH, on which the undersigned had relied on in issuing the pending findings and		
25	recommendations. (<u>Id.</u>) The plaintiff in <u>Norwood</u> had sought rehearing en banc, and the Ninth		
26	Circuit directed appellants to file a response. (Id.) Because the decision in Norwood was clearly		
27	relevant to the resolution of part of this action, the assigned district judge stayed the case. (<u>Id.</u>)		
28	/////	4	
		ı	

On December 17, 2012, the court lifted the stay of this action because the Ninth Circuit had decided the motion for rehearing that had been filed in Norwood. (Doc. No. 63) In light of the Ninth Circuit's decision in Norwood and the passage of time since the court issued its findings and recommendations, the court will direct the parties to file supplemental briefing with respect to their position on the application of the decision in Norwood to this case as well as their positions with respect to defendants' motion for summary judgment generally. Thereafter, the undersigned will issue amended findings and recommendations on defendants' motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within forty-five days of the date of this order, the parties shall file supplemental briefs addressing the application of the decision in Norwood to this case and their positions with respect to defendants' motion for summary judgment generally. Dated: August 20, 2015 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:9 chap1183.supp

Case 2:04-cv-01183-TLN-DB Document 85 Filed 08/21/15 Page 2 of 2